

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,331	09/16/2003	Haruhiko Horiuchi	008312-0305980	9179
909	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			BOLLINGER, DAVID H	
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
•	•		DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C	ℓ
	:
-	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/662,331	HORIUCHI, HARUHIKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David H Bollinger	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the £	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/16/03</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/662,331 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2 through 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, it is not understood how the positioning device causes the absorption block to absorb the sheet as recited. Insufficient structure has been recited to perform the stated function.

In claim 3 lines 3-4, the language "the circumferential surface" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 3 lines 6-9, it is not understood how the recited function is perform as it appears insufficient structure has been recited in order to perform the stated function.

It is not understood how the function of claim 4 is performed as insufficient structure has been recited.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 through 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Derc et al.

Note the take-out rotor 4 and the positioning device 6. See column 1 line 59 through column 2 line 23.

- 5. Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 5 through 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H Bollinger whose telephone number is 703-308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on 703-306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,331

Art Unit: 3653

Page 4

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David H Bollinger
Primary Examiner 19/1/0
Art Unit 3653